



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
10/689,219	10/20/2003	Georg Berger	3975.026	4082				
7590 Stephan A. Pendorf Pendorf & Cutliff 5111 Memorial Highway Tampa, FL 33634-7356		03/14/2008	<table border="1"><tr><td>EXAMINER</td></tr><tr><td>AZPURU, CARLOS A</td></tr></table>		EXAMINER	AZPURU, CARLOS A		
EXAMINER								
AZPURU, CARLOS A								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>ART UNIT</td><td>PAPER NUMBER</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">1615</td></tr></table>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1615		
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER							
1615								
			<table border="1"><tr><td>MAIL DATE</td><td>DELIVERY MODE</td></tr><tr><td>03/14/2008</td><td>PAPER</td></tr></table>	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	03/14/2008	PAPER	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE							
03/14/2008	PAPER							

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/689,219

Applicant(s)

BERGER ET AL.

Examiner

Carlos A. Azpuru

Art Unit

1615

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(3) _____.

(2) Greg Leftkowitz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was pointed out that the silicate content was set out in claim 2. Correction of the claim dependence will place the application in condition for allowance.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Carlos A. Azpuru/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.